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## INTRODUCTION

We study here Bernstein-type polynomial operators defined for integrable functions on a simplex $T$ in $\mathbb{R}^{\prime}$. They are generalizations of the modified Bernstein polynomial operators on $L^{p}(0,1)$ introduced by J. L. Durrmeyer in [8] and studied by the author in [5]. We denote by $\left(M_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ the sequence of those modified operators.

First, we study properties associated with the self-adjointness of $M_{n}$ and express $M_{n} f$, for $f$ integrable on $T$, as a very simple Fourier-type sum. Then, we verify the convergence of derivatives of $M_{n} f$ to derivatives of $f$, a known property of the classical Bernstein polynomials, and we find the same rapidity of convergence involving $n^{-1 / 2}$. Finally, we prove the convergence of $M_{n} f$ to $f$ belonging to $L^{p}(T)$, in $L^{p}(T)$, for $p \geqslant 1$ and estimate the degree of approximation of $f$ by $M_{n} f$ in $L^{p}(T)$. (The statements will be given in the general case of a simplex of $\mathbb{R}^{\prime}$, but, to simplify, technical proofs will be done in the case $l=2$.)

## I. Definition and First Properties

Definition. Let the simplex in $\mathbb{R}^{l}$ be

$$
T=\left\{X=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{t}\right) \mid x_{i} \geqslant 0, i=1,2, \ldots, l ; \sum_{i=1}^{l} x_{i} \leqslant 1\right\} .
$$

The modified Bernstein polynomial of degree $n$, for a $T$-integrable function $f$, is defined by

$$
M_{n} f(X)=\int_{T} K_{n}(X, U) f(U) d U \quad \text { for any } X \in T
$$

where

$$
K_{n}^{r}(X, U)=\frac{(n+l)!}{n!} \sum_{|h| \leqslant n} p_{n h}(X) p_{n h}(U)
$$

and

$$
p_{m n}(X)=\frac{n!}{h!(n-|h|)!} X^{\prime \prime}(1-|X|)^{\prime \prime}|h|
$$

for any $h$ such as $|h| \leqslant n$ (as usual, for $h=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{i}\right)$ in $\mathbb{N}^{\prime}$ and $X=$ $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots . x_{1}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{\prime}$, we denote

$$
\left.|h|=\sum_{i=1}^{l} h_{i}, \quad h!=h_{1}!h_{2}!\ldots h_{l}!, \quad|X|=\sum_{i=1}^{l} x_{i}, \quad X^{h}=x_{1}^{h_{1}} x_{2}^{h_{2}} \cdots x_{l}^{h_{1}}\right)
$$

Proposition I.I. The operator $M_{n}$ has the following properties of classical Bernstein polynomial operator:
(1) It is linear, positive.
(2) It preserves the constants, transforms a function $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{1}\right)$ dependent only on $x_{k}$, in a function $M_{n} f$ dependent only on $x_{k}$, for $k=1,2, \ldots .1$.
(3) It preserves the degree of polynomials with regard to each variable when their global degree is $\leqslant n$.

Moreover, the operator $M_{n}$ is self-adjoint: the equality

$$
\int_{1} M_{n} f(X) g(X) d X=\int_{T} f(X) M_{n} g(X) d X
$$

holds for any $f$ and $g$ in $L^{1}(T)$. (We recall $B_{n} f(X)=\sum_{|h| \leqslant n} p_{n h}(X) f(h / n)$, for $X \in T$.)

Proof. The property (1) comes from the positivity of the kernel $K_{n}$. The second one is an immediate consequence of the binomial formula. For the third one, we use Leibniz' formula for the derivative $D^{q}\left(X^{q}(|X|+z)^{n}\right)$ at the point $z=1-|X|$ ( $D^{4}$ is the differential operator

$$
\left.\frac{\partial^{q_{1}}}{\partial x_{1}^{q_{1}} \partial x_{2}^{q_{2}} \cdots \partial x^{q_{i}}}\right)
$$

to obtain the expression of $M_{n} f$ when $f(X)=X^{4}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n} f(X)=\frac{(n+l)!}{(n+|q|+l)!} \sum_{n-0}^{u} \frac{q!}{s!}\binom{q}{s} \frac{n!}{(n-|s|)!} X^{n}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for the multi-integers $q=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{i}\right)$ and $s=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{i}\right)$, instead of $\sum_{s_{1}=0}^{q_{1}} \sum_{s_{2}=0}^{q_{2}} \cdots \sum_{s=0}^{q_{1}=0}$, we denote $\sum_{s=0}^{q}$, and $\binom{q}{s}=q!/ s!(q-s)!$.

## II. Self-Aidjoint Properties

In this part, we study the properties of the operator $M_{n}$, fastened to its self-adjointness. We denote $\mathscr{P}_{m}$, the space of polynomials of global degree $\leqslant m$, and $\mathscr{2}_{m}$, the subspace of $\mathscr{P}_{m}$, orthogonal, for the inner product on $L^{2}(T)$, to the space $\mathscr{P}_{m-1}$.

Theorem II.1. For every $m \geqslant 1$, the space $\mathcal{Z}_{m}$ is an eigensubspace of $M_{n}$ associated to the eigenvalue

$$
\lambda_{n, m}=\frac{(n+l)!n!}{(n+m+l)!(n-m)!}
$$

if $m \leqslant n$ and $\lambda_{n, m}=0$ if $m>n$.
Consequently, for any integrable function $f, M_{n} f$ can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n} f=\sum_{m=0}^{n} i_{n, m} P_{m} f \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{m} f$ is the "projection" of $f$ on the space $2_{m}$ (the inner product, on $L^{2}(T),\langle f, Q\rangle$, is continuously defined for any integrable function $f$ and any polynomial $Q$ ).

Proof. We use a property given in [5] for a Hilbert space $H$, a subspace $J$ of $H$, and a linear operator $L$ on $H$, such as $L(J) \subset J$ and $L^{*}(J) \subset J$ : if a vector $V$ verifies $V \notin J, V \perp J, L$ and $L^{*}$ are stable on the direct sum $J \oplus\{V\}$, then $V$ is an eigenvector of $L$.

For every multi-integer $q$, with $|q|=m$, we define the polynomial $V_{q}=X^{4}+W_{q}$ such that $V_{q}$ is orthogonal to $\mathscr{P}_{m-1}$ and $W_{q}$ belongs to $\mathscr{P}_{m} \quad$. We then use the above-mentioned property for $H=L^{2}(T), \quad L=M_{n}$, $J=\mathscr{P A}_{m-1}, V=V_{4}$. The eigenvalue associated to the eigenvector $V_{4}$ is obtained as the coefficient of $X^{\varphi}$ in the polynomial $M_{n}\left(X^{q}\right)$ and it is

$$
\frac{(n+l)!}{(n+m+l)!} \frac{n!}{(n-m)!} \quad \text { if } m \leqslant n, \text { zero if } m>n
$$

As this eigenvalue depends only on $|q|=m$, and as the polynomials $V_{4}$ when $q$ runs on the multi-integers such as $|q|=m$ span $\mathcal{Z}_{m}$, this space is an
eigensubspace of $M_{n}$. Let us denote $i_{n, n}$ the eigenvalue of $M_{n}$ associated to $\mathcal{Z}_{m}$ and take $Q_{k, m}, k=1,2, \ldots, m_{l}$, an orthonormal system spanning $\mathcal{Z}_{m}$. Since the global degree of $M_{n} f$ is not greater than $n$, we have, for any integrable function $f$, a decomposition

$$
M_{n} f=\sum_{m=0}^{n} \sum_{k-0}^{m_{i}} \mu_{k, m}(f) Q_{k, m}
$$

Writing $\left\langle M_{n} f, Q_{k, m}\right\rangle=i_{n, m}\left\langle f, Q_{k, m}\right\rangle=\mu_{k, m}(f)$, we conclude that (2.1) holds for any $f .\left(m_{l}\right.$ is the number of multi-integers $q$ such as $|q|=m$.)

## III. Convergence of Partial Derivatives

We prove in this part the convergence of partial derivatives of $M_{n} f$ to partial derivatives of $f$ (when they exist), a well-known property for classical Bernstein polynomials (cf. for [0,1] ${ }^{2}$, P. L. Butzer [3]).

Theorem III.1. If the function $f$ has a continuous partial derivative $D_{q} f$ on $T$, then:
(i) $\sup _{x \in T}\left|D^{q} M_{n} f(X)\right| \leqslant \sup _{X \in T}\left|D^{q} f(X)\right|$,
(ii) $\sup _{x \in T} \mid D^{q} M_{n} f(X)-D^{4} f(X)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leqslant C_{1} \omega\left(D^{q} f, n^{1: 2}\right)+C_{2} n^{1} \sup _{x \in T}\left|D^{4} f(X)\right|, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega(g, \delta)$ is the value of the modulus of continuity of the continuous function $g$ in $\delta>0$, and $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are two constants dependent only on $q$ and $l$.

Proof. (in the case $l=2$ ). If $f$ is integrable on $T$ and $n \geqslant|q|$, we have the first expression for $X \in T$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{q} M_{n} f(X)=a_{n, \varphi} \sum_{|h+q| \leqslant n} p_{n}|q|, h(X) \int_{T}(-1)^{|q|} D^{q}\left(p_{n+|q| . h+q}(U)\right) f(U) d U, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where to simplify the writing we denote

$$
a_{n .4}=\frac{n!(n+2)!}{(n+|q|)!(n-|q|)!} .
$$

We obtain this expression, reasoning by recurrence on $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ and using the relation for $h_{1} \geqslant 1,|h| \leqslant n-1, X \in T$ :

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} p_{n, h_{1}, h_{2}}(X)=n\left(p_{n} \quad 1 . h_{1} \quad 1, h_{2}(X)-p_{n} \quad 1, h_{1}, h_{2}(X)\right)
$$

and the similar one about the variable $x_{2}$.
Then if $f$ owns a continuous partial derivative $D^{4} f$ on $T$, we use Green's formula in (3.3); there appear curvilinear integrals which are zero, so we have the second expression for any $X \in T$ :
$D^{q} M_{n} f(X)=a_{n, 4} \sum_{|h+q| \leqslant n} p_{n-|q|, h}(X) \int_{T} p_{n+|q|, h+q}(U) D^{q} f(U) d U$.
To prove (3.1), we use then the binomial formula to get, for any $X \in T$, the identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{|h+q| \leqslant n} p_{n-|q| \cdot h}(X)=1 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the inequality $a_{n, q}(n+|q|+2)^{1}(n+|q|+1)^{1} \leqslant 1$ for any $n, q$ such as $|q| \leqslant n$.

To prove (3.2), we write for any $X \in T$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|D^{q} M_{n} f(X)-D^{q} f(X)\right| \\
& \quad \leqslant\left|1-(n+|q|+2)(n+|q|+1) a_{n, 4}^{-1}\right|\left|D^{q} M_{n} f(X)\right| \\
& \quad+\left|(n+|q|+2)(n+|q|+1) a_{n, 4}^{-1} D^{q} M_{n} f(X)-D^{q} f(X)\right| \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

As $\mid D^{q} M_{n} f(X)$ does not exceed $\sup _{U \in T}\left|D^{q} f(U)\right|$, and as there exists a constant $C_{2}$, dependent only on $q$ such as $\mid 1-(n+|q|+2)$ $(n+|q|+1) a_{n, 4}^{-1} \mid \leqslant C_{2} / n$, we have only to consider the last term of the inequality (3.6).

In a well-known way, with the help of the modulus of continuity (cf. C. Coatmelec [4]) and using the relation (3.5), we get for any $X \in T$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid(n+|q| & +1)(n+|q|+2) a_{n, q}^{1} D^{q} M_{n} f(X)-D^{q} f(X) \mid \\
\leqslant & (n+|q|+1)(n+|q|+2) \sum_{|h+q| \leqslant n} p_{n \cdots|q| \cdot h}(X) \\
& \times \int_{T} p_{n+|q| \cdot h+q}(U)\left|D^{q} f(U)-D^{q} f(X)\right| d U \\
\leqslant & \omega\left(D^{q} f, \delta\right)\left[1+\delta^{\cdots}(n+|q|+1)(n+|q|+2)\right. \\
& \left.\times \sum_{|h+4| \leqslant n} p_{n}|q| \cdot h(X) \int_{T} p_{n+|q| \cdot h+q}(U)\|U-X\| d U\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\|\cdots\|$ is the euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and the positive real number $\delta$ is to be precised later.

We compute for any $X=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in T$ and $i=1,2$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{|h+y| \leqslant n} p_{n \quad|q| \cdot n}(X) \int_{T} p_{n+|q|, n+y}(U)\left(u_{i}-x_{i}\right)^{2} d U \\
& =\frac{(n+|q|)!}{(n+|q|+4)!}\left\{2 n x_{i}\left(1-x_{i}\right)+\left[x_{i}^{2}\left(4|q|^{2}+16|q|+12\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad \quad-2 x_{i}\left(|q|\left(2 q_{i}+3\right)+4\left(q_{i}+1\right)\right)+\left(q_{i}+1\right)\left(q_{i}+2\right)\right]\right\} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

So, with the help of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the sums and for the integrals we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (n+|q|+1)(n+|q|+2) \\
& \quad \times \sum_{|h+q| \leqslant n} p_{n}|q|, h(X) \int_{T} p_{n+|q|, h+4}(X)\|U-X\| d U \leqslant\left(\frac{n+\gamma_{q}}{n^{2}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma_{4}$ is twice the greatest value, for $x_{i} \in[0,1]$, of the terms under bracket in (3.7).

We take now $\delta=n^{-1 / 2}$ and we obtain that the last term of the inequality (3.6) is bounded by $C_{1} \omega\left(D^{4} f, n^{1 / 2}\right)$ where $C_{1}=2+\gamma_{4}^{1 / 2}$.

## IV. Convergence in $L^{p}(T)$

In this part, we prove the convergence of $M_{n} f$ to $f$ in $L^{p}(T)$ for any $f \in L^{p}(T), p \geqslant 1$. We give an estimate of the degree of approximation of $f$ by $M_{n} f$ with the help of the modulus of smoothness of $f$ defined for $\delta>0$ by

$$
\omega_{p}(f, \delta)=\sup _{|h| \leqslant \delta}\left(\int_{T_{h}}|f(X+h)-f(X)|^{p} d X\right)^{1 / p}
$$

where $T_{h}=\{X \mid(X, X+h) \in T \times T\}$.
First, we deal with the problem on the space $C^{1}(T)$ of the continuous functions with continuous first derivatives.

Then the Peetre- $\mathscr{K}$-functional of the function $f \in L^{p}(T)$ defined by

$$
\mathscr{K}_{p}(t, f)=\inf _{g \in C^{1}(T)}\left\{\|f-g\|_{\iota_{p( }(T)}+t \sum_{|\psi|=1}\left\|D^{q} g\right\|_{\iota_{p}(T)}\right\}
$$

will allow us to enlarge the result to the whole $L^{p}(T)$, for $1 \leqslant p<\infty$, and to continuous functions for $p=\infty$, since the functional $\mathscr{K}_{p}(t, f)$ is "equivalent" to $\omega_{p}(f, t)$.

Proposition IV.1. The operator $M_{n}$ is a contraction on $L^{p}(T)$ for $p \geqslant 1$.

Proof. For $p=\infty$, the result comes from constant preserving property of $M_{n}$ and for $p=1$, in addition, through the self-adjointness of $M_{n}$. The general case is then established with the Riesz convexity theorem (cf. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz [7]).

Theorem IV.1. For any $p, 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, and for any $f \in C^{1}(T)$, we have the estimate $\left\|M_{n} f-f\right\|_{L^{p}(T)} \leqslant C_{p} n^{1 / 2} \sum_{|q|=1}\left\|D^{4} f\right\|_{L^{p}(T)}$, where $C_{p}$ is a constant dependent only on $p$ and $l$.

Proof. (in the case $l=2$ ). Since $M_{n}$ preserves the constants, we have for any $f \in C^{1}(T)$ and any $X \in T$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|M_{n} f(X)-f(X)\right| \leqslant \int_{T} K_{n}(X, U)|f(U)-f(X)| d U \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We begin by the case $p=\infty$.
For any $X$ and $U$ in the simplex $T$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(U)-f(X)| \leqslant\left(\left\lvert\, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}\left\|_{L^{x}(T)}+\right\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\right. \|_{L^{x}(T)}\right)\|X-U\| . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the computation (3.7) for $q_{1}=q_{2}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in T} \int_{T} K_{n}(X, U)\|X-U\|^{2} \leqslant n^{-1} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing up the inequalities (4.1), (4.2), and (4.3), after using Cauchy Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$
\left\|M_{n} f-f\right\|_{L^{x}(T)} \leqslant n^{1 / 2}\left(\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}\right\|_{L^{x}(T)}+\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\right\|_{L^{x}(T)}\right)
$$

We deal now with the case $1<p<\infty$.
Splitting the set of integration in order to stay in $T$, we use Hölder inequality, symmetricity of $K_{n}$, and (4.1) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|M_{n} f-f\right\|_{U p_{T} T} \leqslant 2\left(\iint_{T \times T} K_{n}(X, U)\left|f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right|^{p} d U d X\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \quad+2\left(\iint_{T \times T} K_{n}(X, U)\left|f\left(x_{1}, u_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|^{p} d U d X\right)^{1 / p} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Introducing the function on $[0,1]^{3}, \phi\left(u_{1}, s, x_{1}\right)=1$ if $u_{1} \leqslant s \leqslant x_{1}$ or $x_{1} \leqslant s \leqslant u_{1}, \phi\left(u_{1}, s, x_{1}\right)=0$ elsewhere, we write, for any $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, x_{1}\right)$ with $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in T,\left(x_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in T$ :

$$
\left|f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant\left|u_{1}-x_{1}\right|^{1-1 / p}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}\left(s, u_{2}\right)\right|^{p} \phi\left(u_{1}, s, x_{1}\right) d s\right)^{1 / p}
$$

So, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iint_{T \times I} K_{n}(X, U)\left|f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right|^{p} d U d X \\
& \quad \leqslant\left(\int_{T}\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}\left(s, u_{2}\right)\right|^{p} d s d u_{2}\right) \\
& \quad \times \sup _{\left(s, w_{2}\right) \in T} \iint_{T \times\left[0,1 \quad u_{2}\right]} K_{n}(X, U)\left|u_{1}-x_{1}\right|^{p-1} \phi\left(u_{1}, s, x_{1}\right) d X d u_{1} \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\delta$ be a positive real number which will be precised later $(\delta<1)$. For any $X \in T$ and $\left(s, u_{2}\right) \in T$, we split the integral $\int_{0}^{1-w_{2}}\left|u_{1}-x_{1}\right|^{n}{ }^{\prime}$ $\phi\left(u_{1}, s, x_{1}\right) d u_{1}$ in two integrals according to $\left|u_{1}-s\right|<\delta$ or not, to bound it by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0_{u_{1}}}^{1} u_{2}\left|u_{1}-x_{1}\right|^{r+1}\left|u_{1}-s\right|^{p}+{ }^{2} \phi\left(u_{1}, s, x_{1}\right) d u_{1} \\
& +\int_{0_{1 u_{1}}+\infty}^{1} u_{2}\left|u_{1}-x_{1}\right|^{r+2}\left|u_{1}-s\right|^{p},{ }^{3} \phi\left(u_{1}, s, x_{1}\right) d u_{1} \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where the integer $r$ is defined by $r \leqslant p-1<r+1$.
Hence, for any $\left(s, u_{2}\right) \in T$ and $X \in T$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iint_{T \times\left[0,1 \ldots u_{2}\right]} K_{n}(X, U)\left|u_{1}-x_{1}\right|^{p} \quad 1 \quad \phi\left(u_{1}, s, x_{1}\right) d X d u_{1} \\
& \leqslant\left(\int_{0 u_{1}-s \mid<\gamma}^{1-u_{2}}\left|u_{1}-s\right|^{p,}{ }^{2} \phi\left(u_{1}, s, x_{1}\right) d u_{1}\right) \\
& \times \sup _{u_{1} \in\left\lceil 0,1 \cdot u_{2}\right]} \int_{T} K(X, U)\left|u_{1}-x_{1}\right|^{r+1} d X \\
& +\left(\int_{\left|\left|l l_{|u|} \quad \Delta\right|>0\right.}\left|u_{1}-s\right|^{p} \cdots^{3} \phi\left(u_{1}, s, x_{1}\right) d u_{1}\right) \\
& \left.\times \sup _{u_{1} \in[0,1} \int_{u_{2}}\right]_{T} K(X, U)\left|u_{1}-x_{1}\right|^{r+2} d X \\
& \leqslant \delta^{p \cdot{ }^{1}(p-r-1)^{1} \xi_{n}(r+1)+\delta^{p} r^{2}(p-r-2)^{-1} \xi_{n}(r+2), ~, ~, ~, ~} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\xi_{n}(r)=\sup _{U \in T} \int_{T} K_{n}(X, U)\|U-X\|^{r} d X$.
We need now a result of the next Proposition IV. 2 there exists a constant $C(r)$, independent of $n$, such as $\xi_{n}(r) \leqslant C(r) n^{-r 2}$.

So, the right side of $(4.5)$ is bounded by

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}\right\|_{I^{p}(T)}^{p} \delta^{p-r-1} n \quad{ }^{(r+1 / / 2} C_{p}^{\prime}\left(1+\delta^{-1} n \quad 1 / 2\right)
$$

where $C_{p}^{\prime}$ is a constant dependent only on $p$.
We choose $\delta=n^{1 / 2}$ and we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{T \times T} K_{n}(X, U) \left\lvert\, f\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{1},\left.u_{2}\right|^{p} d U d X \leqslant 2 C_{p}^{\prime}\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}\right\|_{L^{p}(T)}^{p} n^{p / 2}\right.\right. \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{T \times T} K_{n}(X, U)\left|f\left(x_{1}, u_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|^{p} d X d U \leqslant 2 C_{p}^{\prime}\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\right\|_{L^{p}(T)}^{p} n^{p \cdot 2} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing up the inequalities (4.4), (4.8), and (4.9), it comes

$$
\left\|M_{n} f-f\right\|_{L^{p}(T)} \leqslant C_{p} n^{-1 / 2}\left(\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}\right\|_{L^{p}(T)}+\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\right\|_{L^{p}(T)}\right)
$$

For the proof in the case $p=1$, we proceed in the same manner.
The inequalities (4.4) and (4.5) are still true and the integral $\int_{0}^{1-u_{2}} \phi\left(u_{1}, s, x_{1}\right) d u_{1}$ is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left|u_{1}\right| s \mid<\delta} \phi\left(u_{1}, s, x_{1}\right) d u_{1}+\int_{0_{1} u_{1}-s \mid>\delta}^{1} x_{2}\left(u_{1}-x_{1}\right)^{2}\left(u_{1}-s\right){ }^{2} \phi\left(u_{1}, s, x_{1}\right) d u_{1} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we continue as for $p>1$, and the term of the right side in (4.5) is less than

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}\right\|_{L^{\prime}(T)}\left(\delta+\delta \quad{ }^{1} n l^{1}\right) \leqslant 4 n \quad 1 / 2\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}\right\|_{L^{\prime}(T)} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we conclude as above for $p>1$.
Remark. Using an argument of convexity, we could get the property for $1<p<\infty$ as a consequence of the property for $p=1$ and $p=\infty$.

Proposition IV.2. For any integer $r$, we have the estimate

$$
\sup _{x \in T} \int_{T} K_{n}(X, U)\|X-U\|^{r} d U=O\left(n^{-r / 2}\right)
$$

Proof $(l=2)$. We denote, for $i=1,2$ and an integer $r$,

$$
S_{n, r . i}(X)=\int_{T} K_{n}(X, U)\left(x_{i}-u_{i}\right)^{r} d U
$$

We prove, in the same way as in [5, p. 328], computing ( $\left.\partial / 0 x_{i}\right) S_{n, r, i}(X)$, the identity

$$
\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
(r+n+3) S_{n, r+1 . i}(X)= & x_{i}\left(1-x_{i}\right)\left[2 r S_{n, r} \quad 1 . i\right.
\end{array}(X)-\frac{\hat{l}}{\partial x_{i}} S_{n, r, i}(X)\right] \quad \text { ( } 1-2 x_{i}\right)(r+1)-x_{i}\right) S_{n, r, i}(X) .
$$

Reasoning by recurrence on $r$, we verify that $S_{n, r . i}(X)$, which is a polynomial in $x_{i}$ of degree $r$, is a rational fraction in $n$ of degree $-r / 2$ if $r$ is even, of degree $-(r+1) / 2$ if $r$ is odd. Then, the result follows with the help of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Remark. Theorem IV. 1 is still true if $f$ belongs to Sobolev space $W_{1, p}(T)$. Indeed, $C^{1}(T)$ is dense in $W_{1, p}(T)$ and $M_{n}$ is a contraction on $L^{p}(T)$. (the definition of $W_{d, p}(T)$ is recalled next.)

Theorem IV.2. Let there be $1 \leqslant p<\infty$, for any $f \in L^{p}(T)$, the sequence $M_{n} f$ converges to $f$ in $L^{p}(T)$, and

$$
\left\|M_{n} f-f\right\|_{1,(T)} \leqslant C_{p} \omega_{p}\left(f, n^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

Proof $(l=2)$. Let there be $f$, a function belonging to $L^{p}(T), p \geqslant 1$. For any $g$ in $C^{1}(T)$, since $M_{n}$ is a contraction in $L^{p}(T)$, we write

$$
\left\|M_{n} f-f\right\|_{L^{p}(T)} \leqslant\left\|M_{n} g-g\right\|_{I^{P}(T)}+2\|f-g\|_{I^{P_{(T)}}}
$$

Using Theorem IV.1, this quantity is bounded by

$$
C_{p} n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{|q|=1}\left\|D^{4} g\right\|_{L^{\prime}(T)}+2\|f-g\|_{I^{p}(T)},
$$

which is not greater than $\left(2+C_{p}\right) \mathscr{K}_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}, f\right)$ where $\mathscr{K}_{p}$ is Peetre- $\mathscr{K}$ functional. Now, we use the well-known result for a Lipschitz-graph domain (see H. Johnen and K. Cherer [9]):

$$
\mathscr{K}_{p}(t, f) \leqslant C t e \omega_{p}(f, t) .
$$

So we obtain our main desired result.
Remark. The last result is natural and expected; indeed, the degree of approximation corresponding to Bernstein-Kantorovic polynomials of
degree $n$ in $L^{P}(0,1)$ is indicated by H. Berens and R. A. DeVore in [2]. It is $\omega_{p}\left(f, n^{-1 / 2}\right)$ for any function $f$ in $L^{p}(0,1)$.

Now let us introduce $W_{d, p}(T)$, Sobolev spaces of functions $f \in L^{p}(T)$ with derivatives $D^{q} f$ (in the distributional sense) belonging to $L^{p}(T),|q| \leqslant d$, endowed with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{d, p}=\left(\sum_{|q| \leqslant d}\left\|D^{q} f\right\|_{L^{p}(T)}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} .
$$

Proposition IV.3. The two assumptions are equivalent for $p>1$ :
(1) fis in $W_{d, p}(T)$,
(2) $\left\|M_{n} f\right\|_{d, p}$ is uniformly bounded.

Proof. $(l=2)$. First, let us suppose (1) is true.
Using the density of the space $\mathscr{D}(T)$ of infinitely differentiable functions on $T$ with compact support, in $W_{d, p}(T)$, we show that the expression of $D^{q} M_{n} f$, (3.4), falls for any $f$ in $W_{d, p}(T)$ if $|q| \leqslant d$ and $|q| \leqslant n$.

Then Hölder inequality and binomial formula lead us to

$$
\left\|D^{q} M_{n} f\right\|_{L^{p}(T)} \leqslant \frac{n!(n+2)!}{(n+|q|)!(n-|q|+2)!}\left\|D^{4} f\right\|_{L^{p}(T)} \leqslant 2\left\|D^{4} f\right\|_{L^{p}(T)}
$$

So, $\left\|M_{n} f\right\|_{d, p} \leqslant 2\|f\|_{d, p}$. This inequality is still true if $p=1$.
Conversely, let us suppose $\left\|M_{n} f\right\|_{d, n}$ is uniformly bounded. Since $M_{n} f$ converges to $f$ in $L^{1}(T)$, for $|q| \leqslant d, D^{q} M_{n} f$ converges to $D^{4} f$ in the distributional sense. Then, $\mathscr{D}(T)$ being dense in $L^{4}(T), 1 / p+1 / q=1$, and $\left\|M_{n} f\right\|_{d, p}$ being bounded, $D^{q} M_{n} f$ is a weak Cauchy sequence in $L^{p}(T)$. So its limit is in $L^{p}(T)$.

Corollary. For any $f$ in $W_{d, p}(T), p \geqslant 1$, the sequence $M_{n} f$ converges to $f$ in $W_{d, p}(T)$.

Proof. It is a consequence of Theorem III. 1 and of the first implication of Proposition IV. 3 for $p \geqslant 1$, via the density of $\mathscr{A}(T)$ in $W_{d . p}(T)$.
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